CANCELLED

SINGLE BENCH

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

M.C.C.NO. 72 /2005

(WRIT PETITION NO.4068/2004, KUMARI GIRIJA SAMRATH – VERSUS STATE OF C.G. AND OTHERS)

PAPER UNDER DISPOSAL

P.R. No. 94/05
Presented by Pritam Tiwari
dated 05.04

PETITIONER

Kumari Girija Samrath, daughter of Bharat Lal Nishad, aged about 34 years, occupation – Angan Badi Worker, at present posted at Gram Panchayat Pata No.2, Janpad Panchayat – Tamnar, Tehsil – Gharghoda, Distt. Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through – Its Secretary, Woman and Child Development welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Collector, Distt. Raigarh (CG)
3. The District Programme Officer, Woman and Child Development Department, distt. Raigarh (CG)
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Tamnar, Distt. Raigarh (CG)
5. Secretary, Gram Panchayat Pata, Tehsil Gharghoda, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)
6. The Project Officer, Unify Child Development Service Project, Tamnar, Tehsil Gharghoda, Distt. Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

04/4/05

RECEIVED–COPY

CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

(2)

7. Smt Ambika Vaishnav, Daughter of Puran Das, aged about 30 years, Angan Badi worker, posted at Pata No.1 (Presently Pata No. 2), Janpad Panchayt Tamnar, Tehsil Gharghoda, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.) **(IT IS NOT SHOWN IN THE CAUSE TITLE BY THE PETITIONER, AS CAVEATOR)** Applicant

APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION OF ORDER PASSED IN WP NO. 4068/2004 "KUMARI GIRIJA SAMRATH – VERSUS – STATE OF CG AND OTHERS" DTD. 26.11.2004.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक M.C.C.No. 72/2005 **सन् 200**

27

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	31-8-2006 Shri A.N. Bhakta, Adv. for the applicant. Shri U.N.S. Deo, Govt. Adv. for the State. Heard. Counsel for the applicant submits that this petition is filed for modification of order dated 26-11-2004. Counsel for the applicant submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity to make a detailed representation before the competent authorities. Prayer is not opposed by the State Counsel. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation before the competent authorities and if such a representation is filed, the competent authority will decided the same as expeditiously as possible. If the grievance still remains, the petitioner may file appropriate proceedings. With this observation, M.C.C. stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	

P.T.O.